US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: Paraquat dichloride. Shaughnessey No. 061601.
- 2. TEST MATERIAL: Paraquat dichloride technical; 1,1'dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridylium dichloride; CAS No. 1910-42-5; RS No. RS151/B; purity of 32.7% w/w; a dark brown liquid.
- 3. STUDY TYPE: 123-2. Growth and Reproduction of Aquatic Plants - Tier 2. Species Tested: Skeletonema costatum.
- 4. CITATION: Smyth, D.V., S.A. Sankey, and A.J. Penwell. 1992. Paraquat Dichloride: Toxicity to the Marine Alga Skeletonema costatum. Laboratory ID No. T168/C. Conducted by Imperial Chemical Industries PLC, Devon, UK. Submitted by ICI Americas, Inc. EPA MRID No. 426010-04.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Renée Costello Biologist EEB/EFED

6. APPROVED BY:

> Ann Stavola Head, Section 5 EEB/EFED

Signature: Jovel (0970lo)

Date: 9/12/93

Signature: John Marcha

Date: 3/15/93

- 7. CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and meets the guideline requirements for a Tier 2 non-target plant growth and reproduction test with a formulated product. Based on mean measured concentrations, the 4-day NOEC, LOEC, and EC50 for S. costatum exposed to paraquat dichloride were 0.22, 0.47, and 2.84 mg/l, respectively.
- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A.

9. BACKGROUND:

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. <u>Test Species</u>: The diatom used in the test, Skeletonema costatum, originally came from the Culture Centre of Algae and Protozoa, Freshwater Biological Association, The Ferry House, Ambleside, Cumbria, UK. The culture had been kept under axenic conditions since April, 1986. Stock cultures were maintained in synthetic nutrient medium at a temperature of 20 ±1°C with orbital shaking at 100 rpm. Cool-white light provided a 16-hour photoperiod of 4800 lux. Cultures that were growing logarithmically were used as inoculum for the test.
- B. <u>Test System</u>: Test vessels used were glass 250-ml conical flasks fitted with foam stoppers. The test medium was the same as that used for culturing.

The test vessels were kept in an incubator with environmental conditions like those employed in culturing.

C. <u>Dosage</u>: Four-day growth and reproduction study.

Nominal rates of 0.25, 0.50, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32

mg/l, and a medium control were used for the definitive test. The solutions were not adjusted for percent purity of the test material.

A stock solution of the highest test concentration (32 mg/l) was prepared by direct addition of the test material to sterile culture medium. Aliquots of the stock were added to sterile culture medium to obtain the lower nominal test concentrations.

D. <u>Test Design</u>: One-hundred milliliters of the test solution were placed in each of three replicate flasks (3 per treatment level). The control flasks were replicated six times. A blank set of solutions (extra set of control and test solutions without added diatoms) was also incubated concurrently.

An inoculum volume of 1.20 ml per flask was used to provide 10,000 cells/ml. Cell counts were performed every 24 hours using an electronic particle counter. The flasks were randomized daily by rows within the incubator.

At the start of the test, samples taken from each test solution and control were analyzed for the concentration of the test substance using spectrophotometric procedures. At the end of the test, each blank solution was sampled and analyzed in the same manner.

The pH of the test solutions was measured at test initiation and termination. Light intensity was measured once during the experiment. Temperature was monitored continuously electronically as well as manually daily. Salinity was measured at test initiation.

- E. <u>Statistics</u>: For each nominal concentration, the mean of the measured concentration of the day 0 and 4 samples was calculated. The mean measured concentrations were then used as the basis for the data analysis. The area under the growth curve and growth rate were examined as a function of time. Probit and Dunnett's analyses (p≤ 0.05) were conducted on both of these parameters at day 4.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS: Measured concentrations on day 0 were from 68 to 105% of nominal while day 4 measured concentrations were from 82 to 108% of nominal (Table 1, attached). The means of the measured concentrations were 0.22, 0.47, 0.85, 2.1, 4.3, 7.7, 16, and 32 mg/l. The control and exposure solutions were clear and colorless.

Diatom cell densities for the control and the exposure concentrations throughout the test are given in Table 2 (attached).

By day 4, the effect of the test material on the area under the growth curve, relative to the control, ranged between 8 and 97% inhibition (Table 3, attached). The no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC), lowest-observed-effect concentration (LOEC), and EC₅₀ were 0.22, 0.47, and 2.2 mg/l, respectively. The 95% confidence interval was 0.41-8.6 mg/l.

By day 4, the effect of the test material on the growth rate, relative to the control, ranged between 1 and 74% inhibition (Table 4, attached). The NOEC, LOEC, and EC₅₀ were 0.47, 0.85, and 18 mg/l, respectively. The 95% confidence interval was 0.27->32 mg/l.

The pH in the control and the exposure concentrations was 8.3-8.4 at the beginning of the study and 8.2-8.9 at the conclusion. Temperature ranged from 18.9 to 20.7°C. The salinity was 30.9 parts per thousand.

13. <u>STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:</u>
No conclusions were made by the authors.

Good Laboratory Practice and Quality Assurance Unit statements were included in the report indicating compliance with EPA Good Laboratory Practice Standards as set forth in 40 CFR Part 160.

14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: The test procedures and the report were generally in accordance with SEP and Subdivision J guidelines, but deviated as follows:

The study was conducted for 4 days rather than the recommended 5 days.

The EC_{50} was computed based on growth rate and area under the growth curve, rather than cell density.

The light intensity (4.8 klux) was greater than recommended (4 klux).

An inert ingredients control was not incorporated into the study design. This type of control should be included for any technical test material of less than 80% purity.

- B. Statistical Analysis: Using cell density data, the reviewer used EPA's Toxanal program to determine the EC value. Analysis of variance and Bonferroni's test were used to determine LOEC and NOEC values. The same NOEC and LOEC values were determined using cell density as were determined using area under the growth curve. A similar EC₅₀ was calculated, but a narrower confidence interval (C.I.) was determined. The 4-day NOEC, LOEC, and EC₅₀ were determined to be 0.22, 0.47, and 2.84 mg/l (95% C.I.= 2.42-3.33 mg/l), respectively. The slope of the probit curve was 1.53.
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: This study is scientifically sound and meets the guideline requirements for a Tier 2 non-target plant growth and reproduction test with the formulated product. Based on mean measured

concentrations, the 4-day NOEC, LOEC, and EC $_{50}$ for S. costatum exposed to paraquat dichloride were 0.22, 0.47, and 2.84 mg/l, respectively.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: Core for a formulated product.
- (2) Rationale: N/A
- (3) Repairability: N/A

DER# 426010-04

The ma	through \(\sum \) are not included in this copy.
	Identity of product inert ingredients. Identity of product impurities. Description of the product manufacturing process. Description of quality control procedures. Identity of the source of product ingredients. Sales or other commercial/financial information. A draft product label.
	The product confidential statement of formula. Information about a pending registration action. FIFRA registration data. The document is a duplicate of page(s) The document is not responsive to the request.
by pro	nformation not included is generally considered confidential oduct registrants. If you have any questions, please contact adividual who prepared the response to your request.

skeletonema cell density

File: skl Transform

Transform: NO TRANSFORM

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	8	42975.033	5371.879	134.484
Within (Error)	21	838.833	39.944	
Total	29	43813.867		· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Critical F value = 2.42 (0.05,8,21) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

NOFC = 0.22 mg/1

skeletonema cell density

File: skl Transform: NO TRANSFORM

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION Conc (mg	TRANSFORMED	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	control	107.500	107.500		
2	0.22	102.667	102.667	1.082	
3	0.47	91.000	91.000	3.692	*
4	0.85	80.333	80.333	6.079	*
5	2.1	70.000	70.000	8.391	*
6	4.3	45.667	45.667	13.836	*
7	7.7	25.333	25.333	18.386	*
8	16	14.333	14.333	20.847	*
9	32	3.000	3.000	23.383	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.73 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=21,8)

skeletonema cell density

File: skl Transform: NO TRANSFORM

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment NUM OF Minimum Sig Diff % of DIFFERENCE REPS (IN ORIG. UNITS) CONTROL FROM CONTROL GROUP IDENTIFICATION 1 control 6 0.22 2 12.209 11.4 4.833 3 0.47 16,500 3 12.209 11.4 0.85 3 2.1 3 4.3 3 7.7 3 16 3 32 3 4 12.209 11.4 27.167 12.209 11.4 37.500 12.209 6 11.4 61.833 7 12.209 11.4 82,167 8 12.209 11.4 93.167 12.209 11.4 104.500

MOSSLER PARAOUAT SKELETONEMA COSTATUM 2-9-93 ************ NUMBER NUMBER PERCENT BINOMIAL CONC. EXPOSED DEAD DEAD PROB. (PERCENT) 100 97 97 0 32 100 87 87 0 16 100 77 77 0 7.7 100 57 57 0 4.3 2.1 100 34 34 0 .85 100 24 24 0 .47 100 15 15 0 n .22 100 4

BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF ORGANISMS USED WAS SO LARGE, THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CALCULATED FROM THE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY ARE UNRELIABLE. USE THE INTERVALS CALCULATED BY THE OTHER TESTS.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 3.464744

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD
SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
7 .0139224 2.812413 2.415626 3.276565

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD
ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY
3 1.329965E-02 1 .3802964

SLOPE = 1.534003 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.357096 AND 1.710911

LC50 = 2.845681 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.427932 AND 3.334309

LC10 =

.4229481